

# COMPARISON OF FINITE ELEMENTS AND MESHLESS METHODS FOR MODELING OF SOLID PHASE CHEMICAL REACTIONS UNDER EXPLOSIVE LOADING\*

*R.O. CHEREPANOV<sup>1</sup>, S.A. ZELEPUGIN<sup>1</sup>, O.V. IVANOVA<sup>1</sup>*

*<sup>1</sup> Tomsk Scientific Center of Siberian Branch of RAS, 10/4 Akademicheskoy av., 634055, Tomsk, Russia*

Perspectivity of solid-phase reactions under explosive loading [1] consists in that such mode of course of reaction allows to provide non-equilibrium modes of reaction at high pressures and temperatures. This makes it possible to obtain materials with unique properties and structure. However, the extreme conditions of such reactions and the short time of the process require careful mathematical simulation of the corresponding processes in order to control and predict the reaction results.

To solve a wide range of problems in the mechanics of continuous media, the finite element method has been the main numerical method for a long time [2,3]. However, meshfree methods, in particular the smoothed particle hydrodynamics [4-7], have been gaining popularity in recent years.

This paper compares the results of modeling a solid-phase chemical reaction in detonation mode obtained by the finite element method [1] with different variants of the smooth particle method.

At modelling of shock-wave loading the deformation of a design grid leading to instability of the solution is a disadvantage of the finite element method. In this case a computationally complex reconstruction of the design grid is required.

The advantage of the meshfree methods is that they do not use computational meshes. Their disadvantages include lower approximation accuracy.

The results obtained by SPH, CSPM, xSPH and variational SPH are considered.

The phenomenological model of a zero order chemical reaction is used [8], the mechanical properties of the reaction mixture and reaction products are described in the framework of the theory of multi-component mixtures [9]. The results of calculations by meshless methods are compared with the results of modeling by finite element method and with some experimental data.

## REFERENCES

- [1] O. Ivanova, S. Zelepugin, A. Yunoshev, V. Silvestrov. "A Multicomponent Medium Model for Reacting Porous Mixtures under Shock Wave Loading", J. of En. Mat., 28 (1), pp. 303-317, 2010.
- [2] Timon Rabczuk, Jeong-Hoon Song, Xiaoying Zhuang, Cosmin Anitescu, "Chapter Three: Extended finite element method", Extended Finite Element and Meshfree Methods, Academic Press, pp. 29-151, 2020.
- [3] Dimitrios G. Pavlou, Essentials of the Finite Element Method, Academic Press, 2015
- [4] A. Lucy. "A numerical approach to the testing of fusion hypothesis". Astronomical Journal, 82, pp.1013 -1024, 1977
- [5] M.B. Liu, G.R. Liu "Restoring particle consistency in smoothed particle hydrodynamics", Applied Numerical Mathematics, 56(1), pp. 19-36, January 2006.
- [6] Shaofan Li, Wing Kam Liu, Meshfree Particle Methods, Springer Science & Business Media, 2007, 502pp.
- [7] Jing Tang Xing, "Chapter 12 - Mixed finite element—smoothed particle methods for nonlinear fluid–solid interactions" Fluid-Solid Interaction Dynamics, pp. 487-575, Academic Press, 2019
- [8] S.A. Zelepugin, A.Yu. Dolgoborodov, O.V. Ivanova, A.S. Zelepugin. Shock Wave Synthesis in Solid Mixtures: Monograph. Tomsk: Institute of Atmospheric Optics SB RAS, 2012, p. 230
- [9] R.I. Nigmatulin. Dynamics of multiphase media. 1. New York: Hemisphere. 507 p. 1991

---

\* The work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Project No. № 19-08-01152 a).